IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1235 of 1994

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

JETHABHAI K CHAUHAN

Versus

DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

Appearance:

MS ANU S VERMA for Petitioner MR DP JOSHI for Respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE Date of decision: 24/09/97

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. The petitioner, a part-time class IV employee working on temporary basis in the office of the Finger Print Branch, filed this Special Civil Application and prayer has been made for direction to the respondents to absorb him on the regular post of class IV employee in any of the offices under the control of respondent No.1 with all consequential benefits.

- 2. Much is not required to be stated, noticed and decided as the learned counsel for the respondents submits that the case of the petitioner for his regularization as class IV is under consideration. view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents, this Special Civil Application is disposed of in terms that the respondents shall consider the case of petitioner for regularization as class accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of writ of this order. In case the petitioner is regularized as class IV, then he shall be entitled for all the consequential benefits for the same filing of this Special Civil from the date of Application, i.e. 27th January 1994. Where the claim of the petitioner for regularization is not accepted, then the respondents shall pass a reasoned order and a copy of the same may be sent to the petitioner by registered post A.D. In case of difficult, liberty to the petitioner for revival of this Special Civil Application.
- 3. In the result, this Specail Civil Application and Rule stand disposed of in aforesaid terms with no order as to costs.

.

(sunil)